

United States Fatent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tridemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,894	10/26/2001	John Erik Lindholm	NVIDP011A/P000094	7963
23419	7590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD, LLP			HAVAN, THU THAO	
3000 EL CAMINO REAL 5 PALO ALTO SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
), CA 94306		2672	19
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	, //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		//				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/032,894	LINDHOLM ET AL.				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE constitution and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-34</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

ij

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/032,894



Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Continuation of 10. Other: Krech discloses lighting logic unit is coupled to the multiplication logic unit via a conversion module adapted for converting scalar vertex data to vector vertex data (fig. 5). In addition, Krech discloses multiplication logic unit has a feedback loop coupled to an input thereof (col. 11, line 45 to col. 13, line 15; fig. 7). In other words, Krech teaches a vertex looping routine is commenced, which processes data associated with a vertex of the primitive during each loop operation. The appropriate control unit logic element determines via the last vertex bit whether the vertex that was recently operated on in the past by the stack is the last vertex of the primitive that is currently at issue.

MICHAEL RAZAVI

SUPERVISORY PATENT FYAMANIED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2001